




IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: S.E.L. Huang	GAU: 3611
SERIAL NO: 10/804,703	EXAMINER: G.C. Hoge
FILED: March 19, 2004	St. Louis, Missouri
FOR: Foldable Caution Device with Bag	Date: September 22, 2006
	DN: 7476

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on



Attorney



Date of Signature

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT A
(REVISED)

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 28, 2006.

Please amend the claims of this application as follows:



TFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: S.E.L. Huang
SERIAL NO: 10/804,703
FILED: March 19, 2004
FOR: Foldable Caution Device with Bag

GAU: 3611
EXAMINER: G.C. Hoge
St. Louis, Missouri
Date: September 21, 2006
DN: 7476

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

Attorney

Date of Signature

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT A
(REVISED)

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's request for further amending with respect to the Office Action dated February 28, 2006. The recent Office Action from the Patent Office is dated August 17, 2006.

Enclosed is a Revised Amendment A. addressing the issues raised by the Examiner in the most recent Office Action.

Initially, with respect to claims 69 and 72, these two claims have been amended in a manner that should overcome the Sec. 112 issues raised by the Examiner.

With respect to claim 2, it has not been cancelled, as can be seen.

With respect to claims 5, 7, 8, 16, 18, 21, 39-53, 57 and 75, the following comments can be made.

Claim 5 has now been made dependant upon newly added claim 79.

Claim 7 has been made dependant upon newly added claim 79. This was an oversight in the first Amendment A.


Claim 8 is simply dependant upon claim 7.

In view of the election requirement made by the Examiner, and in view of the Office Action dated February 28, 2006, it appears that claims 16, 18, 21, 39-53, 57, and 75, have all been withdrawn from the application. This is what the Examiner required in the restriction requirement, dated November 23, 2005, and as Applicant responded to that restriction requirement, dated December 8, 2005.

In view of this, it appears that all the claims, as questioned by the Examiner in the most recent Office Action, have now been addressed.

The Examiner's further review of this matter would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul M. Denk', is written over the typed name.

Paul M. Denk
Attorney for Applicant
Pat. Off. Reg. No. 22,598
763 South New Ballas Road, Ste. 170
St. Louis, Missouri 63141
(314) 872-8136

PMD/tb